



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,318	08/22/2003	Ronald L. Mahany	14366US02	9697
23446	7590	07/09/2008	EXAMINER	
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			MAI, THIEN T	
500 WEST MADISON STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3400				2887
CHICAGO, IL 60661			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No. 10/646,318	Applicant(s) MAHANY ET AL.
	Examiner Thien T. Mai	Art Unit 2887

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____

Claim(s) objected to: _____

Claim(s) rejected: **56-72**

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet

12. Note the attached *Information Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____

*/Thien M. Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887*

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The references cited in the Final Office action are still believed to meet the claim limitations. In response to applicant's request for clarifications into art rejection of claims 56-59, the Examiner notes that a typographical error has been made in the 103(a) rejection under Gombrich in view of Scholz furher in view of Katner. The claims under this rejection should have been 56-63, 69-72 instead of 59-63, 69-72 since all limitations claims 56-59 have been addressed in the Office Actions. For instance Scholz discloses a flat antenna of claim 57, Katner discloses a pair of antenna called for in claim 58-59. In response to applicant's argument that Gombrich et al does not teach "wherein the terminal ... is arranged to standardize logic levels and a format of the signals transmitted over the connector such that the terminal may be engaged by the communications module through the connector without adjustment of the communications module or the terminal", the Examiner notes that connector 120 is used to transmit logic level signals that is reflected from the bars of the barcode as the result of scanning. The bars and/or the white space next to the bars are well known in the art to yield a logic level such as 1 or 0. Wand device is also mounted with Random access memory RAM which is used to store digital information received and is transmitted when desired. Furthermore, the connector is also interpreted as item 368 seen in Fig. 29, and 31 that is used to couple the communications module (interpreted as any part that is capable of transmitting and receiving information i.e. 320) and terminal (i.e. base station 376). With respect to judicially created obviousness rejection of claims 56, 60, and 65, the Examiner notes that claim 105 of patent 141 recite a wireless communication module ... "Included connector means for coupling signals between said control means and said wireless communication means and for standardizing the logic levels of the coupled signals such that said second module is removable and replaceable without requiring tuning adjustments" and claims 94 which depends on claims 91-93 recite that an antenna is embedded in the wireless communication module